## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA

United States of America,

Case No. 21-cr-234 (WMW/TNL)

Plaintiff,

v. ORDER

DeAndre Neal-Hill,

Defendant.

This matter comes before the Court on Defendant DeAndre Lenier Neal-Hill's Motion to Exclude Time Under the Speedy Trial Act, ECF No. 16; Statement of Facts in Support of Exclusion of Time Under Speedy Trial Act, ECF No. 18; Amended Motion to Exclude Time Under the Speedy Trial Act, ECF No. 20; and Amended Statement of Facts in Support of Exclusion of Time Under Speedy Trial Act, ECF No. 19.

Defendant "move[s] to ends all deadlines until March 30, 2022," and requests that "the period of time from the date of the Court's order on [his] motion through March 30, 2022 [be excluded] from the Speedy Trial Act computations in this case." ECF No. 20 at 1. Defendant states there is "[a]n extensive amount of audio and visual evidence" and he "need[s additional] time to confer with [his] attorney." ECF No. 19 at 1. The Government has no objection to the requested extension. ECF No. 19 at 1.

Additionally, beginning on March 13, 2020, and continuing thereafter, the Honorable John R. Tunheim, Chief District Judge for the United States District Court for the District of Minnesota, has issued a series of General Orders in connection with the

COVID-19 pandemic, addressing, among other things, criminal proceedings and trials.<sup>1</sup> On December 6, 2021, Chief Judge Tunheim entered General Order No. 32, which allows limited in-person proceedings for defendants who decline to consent to conducting the proceeding using videoconferencing, or telephone conferencing if videoconferencing is not reasonably available. *See generally In re: Updated Guidance to Court Operations Under the Exigent Circumstances Created by COVID-19*, Gen. Order No. 32 (D. Minn. Dec. 6, 2021). General Order No. 32 states that because only limited in-person proceedings may be held each day, criminal proceedings may be continued until the date that the criminal proceeding takes place.

General Order No. 32 continues to encourage the use of videoconferencing in criminal proceedings and states that, with the defendant's consent, criminal proceedings will be conducted by videoconferencing, or telephone conferencing if videoconferencing is not reasonably available.<sup>2</sup> General Order No. 32 further provides that the presiding judge will enter orders in individual cases to extend deadlines and exclude time under the Speedy Trial Act to address delays attributable to COVID-19. Accordingly, should Defendant file pretrial motions, counsel shall also file a letter indicating whether Defendant consents to a motions hearing by videoconference. See also ECF No. 12.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> All General Orders related to the COVID-19 pandemic may be found on the Court's website at https://www.mnd.uscourts.gov/coronavirus-covid-19-guidance.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See also General Order No. 31, which went into effect on September 22, 2021, vacated General Order No. 29, and extended the Court's authorization to conduct certain criminal proceedings via video or telephone conference pursuant to the CARES Act "[b]ecause the emergency created by the COVID-19 outbreak continues to materially affect the functioning of court operations in the District of Minnesota." *In re: Updated Guidance to Court Operations Under the Exigent Circumstances Created by COVID-19*, Gen. Order No. 31 (D. Minn. Sept. 16, 2021).

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h), this Court finds that the ends of justice served by granting a continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and Defendant in a speedy trial and such continuance is necessary to provide Defendant and his counsel reasonable time necessary for effective preparation and to make efficient use of the parties' resources. Based on all the files, records, and proceedings herein, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that:

- Defendant's Motion to Exclude Time Under the Speedy Trial Act, ECF No.
   is **DENIED AS MOOT**.
- Defendant's Amended Motion to Exclude Time Under the Speedy Trial Act,
   ECF No. 20, is GRANTED IN PART and DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE IN
   PART.
- 3. The period of time from **December 1, 2021 through March 30, 2022**, shall be excluded from Speedy Trial Act computations in this case.
- 4. All motions in the above-entitled case shall be filed and served consistent with Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure 12(b) and 47 on or before **March 9, 2022**. D. Minn. LR 12.1(c)(1). Two courtesy copies of all motions and responses shall be delivered directly to the chambers of Magistrate Judge Leung.
- 5. Should Defendant file pretrial motions, counsel shall also file a letter on or before March 9, 2022, indicating whether Defendant consents to a motions hearing by videoconference. See ECF No. 12.
- 6. Counsel shall electronically file a letter on or before **March 9, 2022**, if no motions will be filed and there is no need for hearing.

7. All responses to motions shall be filed by **March 23, 2022**. D. Minn. LR 12.1(c)(2).

8. Any Notice of Intent to Call Witnesses shall be filed by **March 23, 2022**. D. Minn. LR. 12.1(c)(3)(A).

9. Any Responsive Notice of Intent to Call Witnesses shall be filed by **March 28, 2022**. D. Minn. LR 12.1(c)(3)(B).

10. A motions hearing will be held pursuant to Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure 12(c) where:

- a. The government makes timely disclosures and a defendant pleads particularized matters for which an evidentiary hearing is necessary; or
- b. Oral argument is requested by either party in its motion, objection or response pleadings.
- 11. If required, the motions hearing shall take place before the undersigned on March 30, 2022, at 1:00 p.m., in Courtroom 9W, Diana E. Murphy U.S. Courthouse, 300 South Fourth Street, Minneapolis, Minnesota. D. Minn. LR 12.1(d).
- 12. TRIAL: The trial date, and other related dates, will be rescheduled following the ruling on pretrial motions. Counsel must contact the Courtroom Deputy for District Judge Wilhelmina Wright to confirm the new trial date.

Dated: December 16, 2021

S/ Tony N. Leung

TONY N. LEUNG

United States Magistrate Judge
District of Minnesota

United States v. Neal-Hill
Case No. 21-cr-234 (WMW/TNL)